## Exam 3, Fall 2005

Notes on Solution
Question 1

| r: | $5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Benefits of Olympics |  |
| Year 12 games | 1,000 million |
| Tourism, $13+$ | 25 million |
| Tourism, 12 | 500 million |
| Total at 12 | 1,500 million |
| Total today | 835 |

Alternative Bids

| Bid | Cost | Net PV | Prob of Win | EV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 300 | 535 | $50 \%$ | 267.6 |
| B | 600 | 235 | $90 \%$ | 211.7 |

Option A has the higher expected value, so it would be the better proposal. It's not as likely to win, but the net payoff is much higher if it does win. Note that since the city doesn't have to pay unless its proposal is chosen, the EV is equal to $\rho^{*}(835-\operatorname{cost})+(1-\rho)^{*} 0$, where $\rho$ is the probability of winning.

## Question 2

$$
Q=K^{\wedge}(0.5)^{*} L^{\wedge}(0.5)
$$

| Pk |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| PI | 24 |
|  |  |


| K | L | Q | TC | AC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 13.50 | 18 | 1305.00 | 72.50 |
| 25 | 12.96 | 18 | 1299.84 | 72.21 |
| 26 | 12.46 | 18 | 1296.92 | 72.05 |
| 27 | 12.00 | 18 | 1296.00 | 72.00 |
| 28 | 11.57 | 18 | 1296.86 | 72.05 |
| 29 | 11.17 | 18 | 1299.31 | 72.18 |
| 30 | 10.80 | 18 | 1303.20 | 72.40 |
| 31 | 10.45 | 18 | 1308.39 | 72.69 |
| 32 | 10.13 | 18 | 1314.75 | 73.04 |
| 33 | 9.82 | 18 | 1322.18 | 73.45 |
| 34 | 9.53 | 18 | 1330.59 | 73.92 |
|  |  | 10 0 $<$ $\vdots$ 1 10 0 0 3 11 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

The firm should use 27 units of capital and 12 units of labor. Its average cost will be $\$ 72$ per unit of output.

## Question 3

$T C=F+G^{*} Q$
$P=A-B^{*} Q$

| F |
| :---: | ---: |


| Subsidy | 14000 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 1100 |
|  | 20 |


| Q | P | TC | TR | AC | AR | AR-AC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 180 | 17800 | 22280 | 386.96 | 484.347826 | 97.39 |
| 47 | 160 | 18100 | 21520 | 385.11 | 457.87234 | 72.77 |
| 48 | 140 | 18400 | 20720 | 383.33 | 431.666667 | 48.33 |
| 49 | 120 | 18700 | 19880 | 381.63 | 405.714286 | 24.08 |
| 50 | 100 | 19000 | 19000 | 380.00 | 380 | 0.00 |
| 51 | 80 | 19300 | 18080 | 378.43 | 354.509804 | -23.92 |
| 52 | 60 | 19600 | 17120 | 376.92 | 329.230769 | -47.69 |
| 53 | 40 | 19900 | 16120 | 375.47 | 304.150943 | -71.32 |
| 54 | 20 | 20200 | 15080 | 374.07 | 279.259259 | -94.81 |
| 55 | 0 | 20500 | 14000 | 372.73 | 254.545455 | -118.18 |
| 56 | -20 | 20800 | 12880 | 371.43 | 230 | -141.43 |
|  |  | 0 <br>  <br> 0 <br> + <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \substack{0 \\ \ddots \\ \text { II } \\ 0 \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { "11 } \\ & \text { 足 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\substack{4 \\ \underset{\alpha}{x}}}{ } \end{aligned}$ |

The organization should charge $\$ 100$ and house 50 people. Including the subsidy, its revenue will just cover its costs and it will earn zero profit.

## Question 4

Part (a)
$P=A-B^{*} Q$

MC: $\quad 25$

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Q} \\ \text { (million) } \end{gathered}$ | P | $\begin{gathered} \text { TR } \\ \text { (million) } \end{gathered}$ | TC (million) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Profit } \\ \text { (million) } \end{gathered}$ | MR | MC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 100 | 500 | 125 | 375 |  | 25 |
| 6 | 95 | 570 | 150 | 420 | 70 | 25 |
| 7 | 90 | 630 | 175 | 455 | 60 | 25 |
| 8 | 85 | 680 | 200 | 480 | 50 | 25 |
| 9 | 80 | 720 | 225 | 495 | 40 | 25 |
| 10 | 75 | 750 | 250 | 500 | 30 | 25 |
| 11 | 70 | 770 | 275 | 495 | 20 | 25 |
| 12 | 65 | 780 | 300 | 480 | 10 | 25 |
| 13 | 60 | 780 | 325 | 455 | 0 | 25 |
| 14 | 55 | 770 | 350 | 420 | -10 | 25 |
| 15 | 50 | 750 | 375 | 375 | -20 | 25 |
|  |  |  | $$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & N \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |

The firm should charge $\$ 75$ for the device and produce 10 million units. Its profit will be $\$ 500$ million.

Part (b)
First task is to compute the PV of the 20 year stream of monopoly profits:
Annual profit
PV forever
Payments after 20
Value through 20
Next task is compute the CS during the patent period (years 1-20):

CS during patent:
CS if forever
CS after 20
Net CS during patent

250
5,000
1,884
3,116

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(1 / 2)^{*} 10^{*}(125-75) \\
& =250 / r \\
& =5000 /(1+r)^{\wedge} 20 \\
& =5000-1884
\end{aligned}
$$

After the patent period, competition in the market will drive the price down to $\$ 25$. We can find $Q$ via the demand curve: $25=125-5 Q$ so $Q=20$ million.

Q
CS after patent
CS if forever
Post-patent CS

$$
\begin{array}{r}
20 \\
1,000 \\
20,000 \\
7,538
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(125-25) / 5 \\
& =(1 / 2)^{*}(125-25)^{*} 20 \\
& =1000 / \mathrm{r} \\
& =20000 /(1+r)^{\wedge} 20
\end{aligned}
$$

Final step is to add the CS values together. The total CS is the PV of the CS during the patent period plus the PV of the CS after the patent expires:

$$
\text { Total CS: } \quad 10,653 \quad=3116+7538
$$

Part (c)


A risk-neutral firm would not proceed with the project because the EV is negative. On average, the firm would expect to lose $\$ 377 \mathrm{M}$ in present value terms.

Part (d)
The subsidy increases both payoffs by $\$ 500$ million (since it reduces the firm's cost of the project to $\$ 500$ million). Hence the firm's problem becomes the following:

Subsidy 500

| Outcome | Prob | Payoff | Prob*Payoff |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trial Succeeds | $10 \%$ | 5,731 | 573 |
| Trial Fails | $90 \%$ | -500 | -450 |
| Expected Profit: |  |  |  |
|  |  | 123 |  |

The EV is now positive, so the firm will undertake the project.
From the government's point of view, the subsidy reduces the SS generated by the policy by $1.2^{*} 500=\$ 600$ million:

| Outcome | Prob | PS Payoff | CS Payoff | Subsidy | Tot SS | Prob*SS |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trial Succeeds | $10 \%$ | 5,731 | 10,653 | -600 | 15,784 | 1,578 |  |  |
| Trial Fails | $90 \%$ | -500 | 0 | -600 | $-1,100$ | -990 |  |  |
| Expected SS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 588 |

Since the EV of the project (including the full CV cost of the revenue needed to underwrite it) is positive, a risk-neutral government would proceed with the subsidy.

