

SUID:

Peter J. Wilcoxon  
PPA 723, Managerial Economics

Department of Public Administration  
The Maxwell School, Syracuse University

**Final Exam**  
Fall 2003

**DO NOT OPEN THIS EXAM UNTIL YOU ARE TOLD TO DO SO.**

**Instructions**

1. Write your SUID in the box in upper right corner of this exam. Do NOT write your name.
2. SHOW ALL YOUR WORK. Answers without supporting work will receive little or no credit.
3. There are 120 points possible on this exam and Question 5 is worth twice as much as the other four. *Be sure to budget your time accordingly.*
4. Several questions provide blank tables you can use to organize your calculations. Be sure to label the columns clearly and *show the equation for the column in the bottom row of the table.*
5. The tables many have more rows and columns than you actually need.
6. Do all your work on the exam. If you need extra space, write on the backs of the pages. However, if you do write an answer on the back of a page, *be sure you've noted that near the question.*
7. A hint about handling fractional exponents: if  $X^{0.4} = Y$  then  $X = Y^{1/0.4}$ .
8. Some helpful PV formulas:

$$(1) \frac{B}{(1+i)^t}$$

$$(2) \frac{B}{i}$$

9. Some helpful factors in case your calculator can't handle exponents:

t	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40
(1.05) <sup>t</sup>	1.0500	1.2763	1.6289	2.0789	2.6533	3.3864	4.3219	5.5160	7.0400







**Question 3, continued**

- (b) How much profit does the firm earn? If the industry is composed of many firms with identical production functions and capital stocks, would the market produce the efficient amount of output in the short run? Explain how you know. Describe (but do not calculate) what will happen in the market in the long run.



**Question 4, continued**

- (b) Show that a risk-neutral firm will choose *not* to test or produce the drug. Explain your result. *Note: BE SURE TO SHOW ALL YOUR WORK! Since the answer is given you will be graded ONLY on your analytical approach.*

**Question 5 (40 points)**

Now let's examine the drug from Question 5 from a different perspective. Suppose the original firm has given all rights to the drug to an anti-cancer NGO. The NGO has \$600,000 on hand and is now considering whether or not to conduct the test. If the test is successful (same cost and probability of success as before), the NGO will allow *any* firm to manufacture the drug without paying any sort of license fee and the market will move to the competitive outcome in year 1. If the NGO decides not to buy the test, it will give the \$600,000 out as cash grants to current cancer patients. Either way, it is going to pay out \$600,000; the question is which policy produces the greatest benefits.

- (a) If the test succeeds, what quantity would be produced in the market? You may assume that all firms have constant returns to scale and can produce the drug for an average and marginal cost of \$25. *Explain in words how you know your answer is correct.*

- (b) What consumer and producer surplus would be generated by the market each year?

**Question 5, continued**

- (c) Now step back and consider the NGO's decision. Should it pay for the test? Explain. *As part of your explanation, be sure to indicate how much larger the benefits are from the NGO's best action compared to the other possible policy (that is, calculate the difference).* You may assume that the NGO is risk neutral. You should also assume that paying out the \$600,000 as cash grants will generate exactly \$600,000 of benefits.

### Question 5, continued

(d) Questions 4 and 5 together illustrate the key policy issues associated with pharmaceuticals. Discuss your results for the two questions with emphasis on efficiency. Here are some key points to consider:

- In the absence of the NGO, is the private firm's decision not to conduct the test efficient? *Explain.*
- If the private firm had gone ahead with the test anyway, and the test had been successful, would it have produced the efficient amount of output during its 20 year patent period? *Explain.*
- How would changing the length of the patent period affect the decision: would a *longer* period make the firm more or less likely to conduct the test? What about a *shorter* patent period? *Why?*
- What general lessons can you draw about efficiency in the pharmaceutical industry?